

Room: E3 151

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain KL

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
CF

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Kevin Lai

28.5

Christina Fang

29.

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Alt

- answer the DA

in an order of

Uniqueness, link, impact
to make sure you hit all the
points

- the framework on the DA
may contradict your
advantage so make
sure that you explain
your links between
democracy and extinction.

- great cross-x

Neg

- Great adaptation to your judge,
on the link to the DA
practice making more
specific references to
their case
- on the DA, make sure
to answer their probability
framework arguments
of even if nuclear war
happens only 10% of the
population is affected

Room: E3 151

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain ML

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
FZ

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

2

Mike Li

~~27.5~~
27.5

1

Franklin Zhu

~~28~~
28Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff

Great job on your ~~an~~ instinct to question the
negation's impact scenarios! Work on structure
more by answering DA's more clearly.
Extend Govr plan all the way
through the end of the debate round.

Neg

Good technical arguments! Work on explaining
link scenarios to specific affs more.
Point out more inconsistencies
within the aff team.

Adam Revello - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 157

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning JK

Negative: ModernBrain NJ

← nice impact calc in
your 2NCNamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

John Ko

27

Nathan Ji

26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Kudos

- good question about connection b/w criterion and value during cross.
- nice job staying calm under pressure.

- strong first cross, good move to focus on impact
- if you are going to claim democracy hurts trade and the environment, need a caveat.
- definition of consequentialism is unclear.
- don't be rude to spectators.

for both: • should make impacts more clear. For example, what is the link between demoralized USA and nuclear war.

- Nice argumentation on your paramount values.

Decision: I'm voting for Neg because.

- 1) Neg was foreseeable and concedes one life is more important for democracy
- 2) Aff drops negs national security point, and therefore nuclear war impact stands.

Adam Revello - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 157

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain PR

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning EF

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Pradyuman Raja	27	Evan Fu	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- should start by saying the resolution.
- try not to spend too much cross time writing.
- nice hand gestures.

- nice job asking for a value criterion.
- nice definition of counter-factualism.
- Abu "Grain"

- For both: no cross talk during constructive, roadmaps.
- Don't drop points, they become automatic concessions.
 - good job on "I should win the debate because." strong way to end.
 - voting decision Negative
 - most pts were chopped and therefore could not be voting issues.
 - the only point that was carried all the way through was the question of whether or not journalists would have to reveal confidential sources during trial.
 - ↳ Meg convinced me that the resolution would require me to disclose, undermining AF's rebuttal.
- Great job, both of you, I'm seriously impressed!

52

Natalie Yates - AofHL

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain AC

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
IL

Name

Points
(30-1)

Name

Points
(30-1)

Anish Chowdhury

27

Ivan Leong

28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Anish, great case. Solid. I feel that
Anish - you dropped some points and repeated
instead of really supporting points. I feel your
imacts were out weighed on the neg side. please
speak clearly and make your voters more solid.
you did well in your first LD debate!

Ivan -

You did well turning the aff value to your side
and still holding your argument. You made excellent
points in extending your points to counter arguments.
Very clear rebuttals. Turning what your opp said into
evil instead of corruption changed some messs. That
didn't stand but you brought the point around to
how can you support a system you say is corrupt
make a law. There was an excellent argument. Great speech
well
still is clear and

Room: E3 155

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ML

Negative: ModernBrain MF

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1 Maxwell Lee	27	2 Michael Fu	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Maxwell - Please slow down. Stop dancing it's very
distracting. Your case. Your points and evidence
was solid. You completely supported your value w/
strong impact. ~~rebuttal~~ you extended your points
and solid rebuttal. Tighten up your framework and
you will do great. Solid win. ~~rebuttal~~

Michael - Please speak clearer and ~~loud~~ louder. Your case
was solid. I just needs to be worked a little more
so you understand your impacts and support cyber terrorism
w/ more cards. I know you were nervous. You did ^{an} awesome
job not showing it and asking for clarification on what
you did understand. If you hadn't dropped your impacts
you may have won. Your case was solid you just needed
to clarify and support w/ evidence. Your rebuttals were not there!
but that will come w/ time. You have ^{this}

Denise Nguyen - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 417

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain DL

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning JP

NamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

Devin Lai

25

Jun-Woo Pak

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

NEG

Devin, great start w/ your opening!

Is consequentialism your framework or value?
Please clarify. Very thorough explanation of your
points!

While your contentions were strong, there
was a lack of quotations/empirical data to
prove your case.

When was peace considered to be a part of
your plan? It was not mentioned originally.
Keep your perspective in the context of the
U.S., not the world just yet.

Jun-Woo, I appreciate that you mention
of the value, criterion, + definition of consequentialism.
Very clear intentions! However, there were
moments where you were not understandable
and I could not hear what you were saying
sometimes. I would suggest enunciating your words
and avoid mumbling

confidentiality → Fake news.

Net's security

Confidentiality undermines accountability,
Verifiability.

Overall, I liked the approach of
your arguments. They were by far the
best I've heard today.

Denise Nguyen - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 417

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain HQ

Negative: Academy of Higher Learning PP

NamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

Harley Qiu

26

Phillip Park

25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

NEG

Value: consequentialism.
Criterion: Protection of Americans.

Harley, please state the resolution being discussed today. The audience or judge may not know what you are talking about. So far, this has been the clearest + understandable contentions I've heard from the AFF. side. ^(like I can understand you!) However, where are the impacts of consequentialism + contention #2? I also feel the definition of consequentialism is still unclear.

- impact → U.S Isolation + Nuclear war
- plan → free flow of info Act.
free press advantage

① Whistle blower

② Foundation of democracy

This is a really great start to this activity!
You are a great speaker + very natural. Keep it up!
Thank you for being polite, kind, asking questions!

Phillip, very passionate opening!
Very ~~clear~~ clear contentions w/ great use of quotations as evidence.
However, try to not raise your voice during CX.
You seemed upset when being questioned.
Regardless of what is being asked, remain calm.
Did you present any plans or counter plans against your opponent? What was it?

53

Daniel Kyle - Nova 42

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 4532018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10:45AM****Ballot Due: Soon please!****Affirmative:** ModernBrain VS**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
LJNamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

Vera Sun

27.5

Lily Johnson

28
~~22.5~~**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

*ref**Nova**Good eye contact**you need some
eye contact**you need to better
link into nuclear war
you need to link
into your impacts a lot
better.**the link to the topic
is weak and needs
a lot of work.**you need to explain
your plan text better
and how exactly it
solves the problems
presented**carry through the framework*

RPD: I vote no because AFDrops most args and all
the impact in her second speech along with framework.

Room: E3 453

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: ModernBrain AC

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
TH

Name

Points
(30-1)

Aidan Chung

28

Name

Points
(30-1)

Ted Hong

26.5

~~28~~Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

eye contact also

explain the plan better
a little more and
flash out exactly how
it solves the problems
you propose

neg

Don't look at your teammates
flows during the round it
counts as cheating

eye contact
provide impact of
loss of accountability

maybe spend time
in the first speech
attacking the aff
the sepiang point needs more
clarification
you still need to show
why your value is better

DO NOT walk out
during someone's speech
no talk or move around
the room

RFD! ~~the~~ wrote Neg because the app wins value and VC and the app
doesn't really impact it at under that framework, while
app had good impact it didn't fit under the value
and ~~the neg~~ the neg wins framework since app shows no
negotiation and no extension of his own framework
until the last speech.

Room: E3 353

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning TH

Negative: Nova 42 Academy TV

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Timothy Ha	26	Thomas Vandenburg	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

16
13
17
13
14
16
3

Thomas
Rebuttal

social welfare covers democracy
doesn't have evidence as to why 45th freepress
lack of sources

cross

no reason why press shouldn't be protected?
→ can't afford
examples?
→ confidential sources lie
there are consequences?
→ fake news, intl tension and war
why shouldn't congress be allowed to choose which journalists are allowed to protected re: conf. source

→ discrimination
ex of
→ compared to racism + disc
proof of racism
→ cannot take the chance
BACK + FORTH

Timothy
exception of shield laws for terrorist/national security
only if fed judge decides it is likely to cause sig. damage

confidentiality is not in integrity
integrity

Timothy

took almost 2 min for topicality
no eye contact

① Where is our country? (w/ regards to free speech)
Trump search → dictatorship?
② strength/vitality of press
freedom + safety
Tarconic case → bribe story corruption
③

evidence for task force being problematic? ✓
are there confidential sources now / tarconic case
why 45th for press freedom?
why is democracy a value

Thomas

social welfare post-truth fake news
① misrepresentation
ex. vaccines cause autism

② manipulation of sources / misleading information
③ confidential info can't lie
what defines press — favoritism elitism

Reporters
- Truth outweighs lies (counter to: news reporters lying)
- counter to congress's racism: he only came up w/ one ex (Jim Crow)

Timothy

provide sources / have them readily available
more confidence

Thomas

speaks too fast
uses like and um too often

Thomas

- value of democracy vs social welfare
- ~~the~~ says opponent drops ~~prairie~~ retutal points
- doesn't extend argument

~~the~~ opponent agrees to two points

~~the opponent agrees to two points~~

says the exception doesn't work for LD

- * re: Jim Crow just cannot allow more discrimination

1. framework
- 2 social welfare
- 3 stops discrimination / elitism
4. misinformation
- 5.

Timothy

- magnitude
- time frame
- claims opp misunderstood his agreement.

Adriana Navazesh - Kudos Leadershi

Open LD R2 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 353

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10:45AM

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning SJ

Negative: ModernBrain AL

NamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)


____ Soren Jensen _____

____ Alex Lin _____

Forfeit
not drop from tournament

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____


 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- eye contact

- speed

① democracy

② decrease corruption

"reporter" is vague

"45th that's not a typo that's a
disgrace"

"the way a psych or lawyer..."